

# Fractal functions using contraction method in probabilistic metric spaces

J.Kolumbán \*and A.Soós \*

## Abstract

In this paper, using probabilistic metric spaces techniques, we can weak the first moment condition for existence and uniqueness of selfsimilar fractal functions.

**Keywords:** scaling law, random scaling law, fractal function, random fractal function, probabilistic metric space, E-space.

The most known fractals are invariant sets with respect to a system of contraction maps, especially the so called selfsimilar sets.

Recently Hutchinson and Rüschemdorf gave a simple proof for the existence and uniqueness of invariant fractal sets and fractal functions using probability metrics defined by expectation. In these works a finite first moment condition is essential.

In this paper, using probabilistic metric spaces techniques, we can weak the first moment condition for existence and uniqueness of selfsimilar fractal functions.

The theory of probabilistic metric spaces, introduced in 1942 by K. Menger, was developed by numerous authors. The study of contraction mappings for probabilistic metric spaces was initiated by V. M. Sehgal, and H. Sherwood.

## 1 Selfsimilar fractal functions

Denote  $(X, d)$  a complete separable metric space Let  $g : I \rightarrow X$ , where  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a closed bounded interval,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_N$  be a partition of  $I$  into disjoint subintervals. Let  $\Phi_i : I \rightarrow I_i$  be increasing Lipschitz maps with  $p_i = Lip\Phi_i$ . We have  $\sum_{i=1}^N p_i \geq 1$  and if the  $\Phi_i$  are affine then  $\sum_{i=1}^N p_i = 1$ . If  $g_i : I_i \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ , for  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  define  $\sqcup_i g_i : I \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  by

$$(\sqcup_i g_i)(x) = g_j(x) \text{ for } x \in I_j.$$

---

\*Babes-Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Cluj-Napoca, asoos@math.ubbcluj.ro

A *scaling law*  $\mathbf{S}$  is an N-tuple  $(S_1, \dots, S_N)$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , of Lipschitz maps  $S_i : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ . Denote  $r_i = \text{Lip}S_i$ . A *random scaling law*  $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N)$  is a random variable whose values are scaling laws. We write  $\mathcal{S} = \text{dist}\mathbf{S}$  for the probability distribution determined by  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\stackrel{d}{=}$  for the equality in distribution.

Let  $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$  be a scaling law. For the function  $g : I \rightarrow X$  define the function  $\mathbf{S}g : I \rightarrow X$  by

$$\mathbf{S}g = \sqcup_i S_i \circ g \circ \Phi_i^{-1}.$$

We say  $g$  satisfies the scaling law  $\mathbf{S}$ , or is a *selfsimilar fractal function*, if

$$\mathbf{S}g \stackrel{d}{=} g.$$

Fix  $0 < p \leq \infty$ . Let

$$L_\infty = \{g : I \rightarrow X \mid \text{esssup}_{x \in X} d(g(x), a) < \infty\},$$

$$L_p = \{g : I \rightarrow X \mid \int d(g(x), a)^p < \infty\}, \text{ if } 0 < p < \infty,$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The metric  $d_p$  on  $L_p$  is the complete metric defined by

$$d_\infty(f, g) = \text{ess sup}_x d(f(x), g(x)),$$

$$d_p(f, g) = \left( \int d(f(x), g(x))^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p} \wedge 1} \text{ if } 0 < p < \infty.$$

Let  $\lambda_\infty = \max_i r_i$  and  $\lambda_p = \sum_i p_i r_i^p$ , for  $0 < p < \infty$ .

In Hutchinson and Ruschendorf prove the following:

**Theorem 1.1** ([?]) *If  $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N)$  is a scaling law with  $\lambda_p < 1$  for some  $0 < p \leq \infty$  then there is a unique  $f^* \in L^p$  such that  $f^*$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}$ .*

*Moreover, for any  $f_0 \in L^p$ ,*

$$\text{esssup}d_\infty(\mathbf{S}^k g_0, g^*) \leq \frac{\lambda_\infty^k}{1 - \lambda_\infty} \text{esssup}d_\infty(g_0, \mathbf{S}g_0) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$d_p(\mathbf{S}^k g_0, g^*) \leq \frac{\lambda_p^{k(\frac{1}{p} \wedge 1)}}{1 - \lambda_p^{\frac{1}{p} \wedge 1}} d_p(g_0, \mathbf{S}g_0) \rightarrow 0, \text{ } 0 < p < 1$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

For the random version we start with the random scaling law. Let  $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$  be a random scaling law and let  $G = (G_t)_{t \in I}$  a stochastic process or a random function with state space  $(X, \mathcal{X})$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ . The trajectory of the

process  $\mathbf{G}$  is the function  $g : I \rightarrow X$ . The trajectory of the random function  $\mathbf{S}g$  is defined up to probability distribution by

$$\mathbf{S}g = \sqcup_i S_i \circ g^{(i)} \circ \Phi_i^{-1},$$

where  $\mathbf{S}, g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(N)}$  are independent of one another and  $g^{(i)} \stackrel{d}{=} g$ , for  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ . If  $\mathcal{G} = \text{dist}g$  we define

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G} = \text{dist}\mathbf{S}g.$$

We say  $g$  or  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfies the scaling law  $\mathbf{S}$ , or is a *selfsimilar random fractal function*, if

$$\mathbf{S}g \stackrel{d}{=} g, \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \mathcal{S}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}.$$

Beginning from any  $g_0 \in L_p$  Hutchinson and Rüschemdorf define [?] a sequence of random functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}g_0 &= \sqcup_i S_i \circ g_0 \circ \Phi_i^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{S}^2 g_0 &= \sqcup_{i,j} S_i \circ S_j^i \circ g_0 \circ \Phi_j^{-1} \circ \Phi_i^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{S}^3 g_0 &= \sqcup_{i,j,k} S_i \circ S_j^i \circ S_k^{ij} \circ g_0 \circ \Phi_k^{-1} \circ \Phi_j^{-1} \circ \Phi_i^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

etc.; where  $\mathbf{S}^i = (S_1^i, S_2^i, \dots, S_N^i)$ , for  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , are independent of each other and of  $\mathbf{S}$ , the  $\mathbf{S}^{ij} = (S_1^{ij}, S_2^{ij}, \dots, S_N^{ij})$ , for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  are independent of each other and of  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{S}^i$ , etc.

**Theorem 1.2** (*Hutchinson and Ruschendorf ([?])*) *If there exists a random function  $h$  such that*

$$\text{esssup}_\omega d_\infty(h^\omega, \delta_a^\omega) < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad (1)$$

$$E_\omega^\frac{1}{p} d_p^p(h^\omega, \delta_h^\omega) < \infty \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad (2)$$

$$E_\omega d_p(h^\omega, \delta_h^\omega) < \infty \quad \text{for } 0 < p < 1, \quad (3)$$

and if  $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$  is a random scaling law which satisfies either

$$\lambda_p := E \sum_{i=1}^N p_i r_i^p < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad E \sum_{i=1}^N p_i d^p(S_i(a), a) < \infty, \quad \text{or} \quad (4)$$

$$\lambda_\infty := \text{esssup}_\omega \max_i r_i < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{esssup}_\omega \max_i d^p(S_i(a), a) < \infty, \quad (5)$$

then there exists a unique  $g^*$  such that  $\mathbf{S}g^* \stackrel{d}{=} g^*$  and for any  $g_0 \in L_p$ ,

$$\text{esssup} d_\infty(\mathbf{S}^k g_0, g^*) \leq \frac{\lambda_\infty^k}{1 - \lambda_\infty} \text{esssup} d_\infty(g_0, \mathbf{S}g_0) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$E_\omega^\frac{1}{p} d_p^p(\mathbf{S}^k g_0, g^*) \leq \frac{\lambda_p^k}{1 - \lambda_p} E_\omega^\frac{1}{p} d_p^p(g_0, \mathbf{S}g_0) \rightarrow 0, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty$$

$$Ed_p(\mathbf{S}^k g_0, g^*) \leq \frac{\lambda_p^k}{1 - \lambda_p} E_p^d(g_0, \mathbf{S}g_0) \rightarrow 0, 0 < p < 1$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $g^*$  does not depend on  $g_0$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{S}^k g_0 \rightarrow g^*$  a.s. Moreover, up to probability distribution,  $g^*$  is the unique function such that  $E \int \log|g^*| < \infty$  and which satisfies  $\mathbf{S}$ .

However, using contraction method in probabilistic metric spaces, instead of (1) we can give weaker conditions for the existence and uniqueness of invariant random function.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $\mathcal{E}_p$  be the set of random functions  $(G_t)_{t \in I}$  with state space  $X$  and let  $\mathbf{S}$  be a random scaling law. Suppose there exists  $h \in \mathcal{E}_p$  and a positive number  $\gamma$  such that either*

$$P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \text{esssup}_x d(h^\omega(x), \mathbf{S}h^\omega(x)) \geq t\}) \leq \frac{\gamma}{t} \text{ for all } t > 0$$

and  $\lambda_\infty := \text{esssup}_\omega \max_i r_i^\omega < 1$  or

$$P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \int_I d(h^\omega(x), \mathbf{S}h^\omega(x))^{\frac{1}{p} \wedge 1} \geq t\}) \leq \frac{\gamma}{t} \text{ for all } t > 0$$

and  $\lambda_p := E \sum_{i=1}^N p_i r_i^{\omega p} < 1$ . Then there exists  $G^* \in \mathcal{E}_p$  such that  $\mathbf{S}G^* = G^*$ . Moreover, up to probability distribution  $g^*$  is the unique function in  $\mathcal{E}_0 = \cup_{p>0} \mathcal{E}_p$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

### 2.1 Menger spaces

Let  $\mathbf{R}$  denote the set of real numbers and  $\mathbf{R}_+ := \{x \in \mathbf{R} : x \geq 0\}$ . A mapping  $F : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a *distribution function* if it is non-decreasing, left continuous with  $\inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}} F(t) = 0$  and  $\sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} F(t) = 1$  (see [?]). By  $\Delta$  we shall denote the set of all distribution functions  $F$ . Let  $\Delta$  be ordered by the relation " $\leq$ ", i.e.  $F \leq G$  if and only if  $F(t) \leq G(t)$  for all real  $t$ . Also  $F < G$  if and only if  $F \leq G$  but  $F \neq G$ . We set  $\Delta^+ := \{F \in \Delta : F(0) = 0\}$ .

Throughout this paper  $H$  will denote the Heviside distribution function defined by

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0, \\ 1, & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. For a mapping  $\mathcal{F} : X \times X \rightarrow \Delta^+$  and  $x, y \in X$  we shall denote  $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$  by  $F_{x,y}$ , and the value of  $F_{x,y}$  at  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  by  $F_{x,y}(t)$ , respectively. The

pair  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a *probabilistic metric space* (briefly *PM space*) if  $X$  is a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{F} : X \times X \rightarrow \Delta^+$  is a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- 1<sup>0</sup>.  $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ;
- 2<sup>0</sup>.  $F_{x,y}(t) = 1$ , for every  $t > 0$ , if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- 3<sup>0</sup>. if  $F_{x,y}(s) = 1$  and  $F_{y,z}(t) = 1$  then  $F_{x,z}(s+t) = 1$ .

A mapping  $T : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a *t-norm* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 4<sup>0</sup>.  $T(a, 1) = a$  for every  $a \in [0, 1]$ ;
- 5<sup>0</sup>.  $T(a, b) = T(b, a)$  for every  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ ;
- 6<sup>0</sup>. if  $a \geq c$  and  $b \geq d$  then  $T(a, b) \geq T(c, d)$ ;
- 7<sup>0</sup>.  $T(a, T(b, c)) = T(T(a, b), c)$  for every  $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ .

A *Menger space* is a triplet  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$ , where  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a probabilistic metric space, where  $T$  is a t-norm, and instead of 3<sup>0</sup> we have the stronger condition

- 8<sup>0</sup>.  $F_{x,y}(s+t) \geq T(F_{x,z}(s), F_{z,y}(t))$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $s, t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ .

The  $(t, \epsilon)$ -topology in a Menger space was introduced in 1960 by B. Schweizer and A. Sklar [10]. The base for the neighbourhoods of an element  $x \in X$  is given by

$$\{U_x(t, \epsilon) \subseteq X : t > 0, \epsilon \in ]0, 1[ \},$$

where

$$O_x(t, \epsilon) := \{y \in X : F_{x,y}(t) > 1 - \epsilon\}.$$

In 1966, V.M. Sehgal [12] introduced the notion of a contraction mapping in PM spaces. The mapping  $x : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a *contraction* if there exists  $r \in ]1, 1[$  such that

$$F_{f(x), f(y)}(rt) \geq F_{x,y}(t)$$

for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ .

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  from  $X$  is said to be *fundamental* if

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_m, x_n}(t) = 1$$

for all  $t > 0$ . The element  $x \in X$  is called *limit* of the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ , and we write  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  or  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x, x_n}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ . A probabilistic metric (Menger) space is said to be *complete* if every fundamental sequence in that space is convergent.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  nonempty subsets of  $X$ . The *probabilistic Hausdorff-Pompeiu distance* between  $A$  and  $B$  is the function  $F_{A,B} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$F_{A,B}(t) := \sup_{s < t} T\left(\inf_{x \in A} \sup_{y \in B} F_{x,y}(s), \inf_{y \in B} \sup_{x \in A} F_{x,y}(s)\right).$$

In the following we remember some properties proved in [?, 7]:

**Proposition 2.1** *Pf  $\mathcal{C}$  is a nonempty collection of nonempty closed bounded sets in a Menger space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  with  $T$  continuous, then  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}, T)$  is also Menger space, where  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is defined by  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) := F_{A,B}$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

**Proof.** See [6, 12]. □

**Proposition 2.2** *Let  $T_m(a, b) := \max\{a+b-1, 0\}$ . If  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T_m)$  is a complete Menger space and  $\mathcal{C}$  is the collection of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of  $X$  in  $(t, \epsilon)$ -topology, then  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}, T_m)$  is also a complete Menger space.*

**Proof.** See [?]. □

## 2.2 E-spaces

The notion of E-space was introduced by Sherwood [13] in 1969. Next we recall this definition. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{K}, P)$  be a probability space and let  $(Y, \rho)$  be a metric space. The ordered pair  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is an *E-space over the metric space  $(Y, \rho)$*  (briefly, an E-space) if

the elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  are random variables from  $\Omega$  into  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the mapping from  $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}$  into  $\Delta^+$  defined via  $\mathcal{F}(x, y) = F_{x,y}$ , where

$$F_{x,y}(t) = P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid d(x(\omega), y(\omega)) < t\})$$

for every  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Usually  $(\Omega, \mathcal{K}, P)$  is called the base and  $(Y, \rho)$  the target space of the E-space. If  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the condition

$$\mathcal{F}(x, y) \neq H, \text{ for } x \neq y,$$

with  $H$  defined in paragraph 3.1., then  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is said to be a *fanonical E-space*. Sherwood [13] proved that every canonical E-space is a Menger space under  $T = T_m$ , where  $T_m(a, b) = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\}$ . In the following we suppose that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a canonical E-space.

The convergence in an E-space is exactly the probability convergence. The E-space  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is said to be complete if the Menger space  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, T_m)$  is complete.

**Proposition 2.3** *If  $(Y, \rho)$  is a complete metric space then the E-space  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is also complete.*

**Prof.:** See [7]

The next result was proved in [7]:

Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  be a complete E-space,  $N \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , and let  $f_1, \dots, f_N : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be contractions with ratio  $r_1, \dots, r_N$ , respectively. Suppose that there exists an element  $z \in \mathcal{E}$  and a real number  $\gamma$  such that

$$P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \rho(z(\omega), f_i(z(\omega))) \geq t\}) \leq \frac{\gamma}{t}, \quad (6)$$

for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and for all  $t > 0$ . Then there exists a unique nonempty closed bounded and compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  such that

$$f_1(K) \cup \dots \cup f_N(K) = K.$$

**Corollary 2.1** *Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$  be a complete E-space, and let  $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be a contraction with ratio  $r$ . Suppose there exists  $z \in \mathcal{E}$  and a real number  $\gamma$  such that*

$$P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \rho(z(\omega), f(z(\omega))) \geq t\}) \leq \frac{\gamma}{t} \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

*Then there exists a unique  $x_0 \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $f(x_0) = x_0$ .*

**Remark:** If the mean values  $\int_{\Omega} d(z(\omega), f_i(z(\omega)))dP$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  are finite, then by the Chebisev inequality, condition (6) is satisfied. However, the condition (6) can also be satisfied for  $\int_{\Omega} d(z(\omega), f(z(\omega)))dP = \infty$ . For example, let  $\Omega = ]0, 1]$  with the Lebesgue measure and let  $f(x) = \frac{x(\omega)}{3} + \frac{1}{\omega}$ . Then for  $z(\omega) \equiv 0$ , the above expectation is  $\infty$ , but, for  $\gamma = 1$ , the condition (6) holds.

### 2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let  $f : \mathcal{E}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_p$ ,

$$f(g) = \mathbf{S}g = \sqcup_i S_i \circ g^{(i)} \circ \Phi_i^{-1},$$

where  $\mathbf{S}, g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(N)}$  are independent of one another and  $g^{(i)} \stackrel{d}{=} g$ .

We first claim that, if  $g \in \mathcal{E}_p$  then  $f(g) \in \mathcal{E}_p$ . For this, choose i.i.d.  $g^{(\omega)} \stackrel{d}{=} g$ . and  $(S_1^\omega, \dots, S_N^\omega) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{S}$  independent of  $g^{(\omega)}$ . For  $p = \infty$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x d(\mathbf{S}g^{(\omega)}(x), a) &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x d(\sqcup_i S_i^\omega \circ g_i^{(\omega)} \circ \Phi_i^{-1}(x), a) \leq \\ &\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x \max_i r_i d(g_i^{(\omega)} \circ \Phi_i^{-1}(x), b) \leq \\ &\leq \max_i r_i \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x d(g_i^{(\omega)} \circ \Phi_i^{-1}(x), b) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $b = \mathbf{S}(\delta_a)$ . For  $0 < p < \infty$  the proof is similar.

For  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{E}_p$  and  $p = \infty$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{f(g_1), f(g_2)}(t) &= P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x d(\mathbf{S}g_1(x), \mathbf{S}g_2(x)) < t\}) = \\ &= P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x d(S_i^\omega \circ g_1^{(i)} \circ \Phi_i^{-1}(x), S_i^\omega \circ g_2^{(i)} \circ \Phi_i^{-1}(x)) < t\}) \geq \\ &\geq P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \lambda_\infty \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x d(g_1^{(i)} \circ \Phi_i^{-1}(x), g_2^{(i)} \circ \Phi_i^{-1}(x)) < t\}) = F_{g_1, g_2}\left(\frac{t}{\lambda_\infty}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t > 0$ .

Similarly if  $2 < p < \infty$ . It follows that  $f$  is a contraction with ratio  $\lambda_\infty$  or  $\lambda_p$  and we can apply the Corollary 2.1 for  $r = \lambda_\infty$  or  $r = \lambda_p$  respectively.

For the uniqueness of  $\operatorname{dist}g^*$  satisfying  $\mathbf{S}$  let  $\mathcal{Y}$  the set of probability distributions of members of  $\mathcal{E}$ . We define on  $\mathcal{G}$  the probability metric by

$$F_{\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2}(t) := \sup_{s < t} \sup \{F_{g_1, g_2}(s) \mid g_1 \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{G}_1, g_2 \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{G}_2\}.$$

One check that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a contraction map with contraction constant  $\lambda_\infty$  or  $\lambda_p$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}^*$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{**}$  such that  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}^* = \mathcal{G}^*$  and  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}^{**} = \mathcal{G}^{**}$ .

As in the proof of the Theorem 2.2, one can show that

$$F_{\mathcal{G}^*, \mathcal{G}^{**}}(t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0.3cm \square$$

## References

- [1] M.F. Barnley: Fractals Everywhere, Academic Press, 1978.



- [2] Gh.Constantin, I.Istraşescu: Elements of Probabilistic Analysis, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 7989.
- [3] J.E.Hutchinson: Fractals and Self Similarity, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 30 (4981), no.5, 813-747.  
HR98a J.E.Hutchinson, L.Rüschendorf: Random Fractal Measures via the Contraction Method, Indiana Univ. Math. Journal, 47(2), (1998), 471-489.
- [4] J.E.Hutchinson, X.Rüschendorf: Random Fractal and probability metrics, Research Report MRR48, (1998) Australian National University.
- [5] J.E.Hutchinson, L.Rüschendorf: Selfsimilar Fractals and Selfsimilar Random Fractals, Progress in Probability, 46, (2000), 109-123.
- [6] J.Kolumbán, A. Soós: Invariant sets in Menger spaces, Studia Univ. "Babes-Bolyai", Mathematica, 43, 2 (1398), 39-48.
- [7] J.Kolumbán, A. Soós: Invariant sets of random variables in complete metric spaces, Studia Univ. "Babes-Bolyai", Mathematica, (7025), accepted.
- [8] K.Menger: Statistical Metrics, Proc.Nat. Acad. of Sci.,U.S.A. 28 (1942), 535-737.
- [9] S.T.Rachev: Probability Metrics and the Stability of Stochastic Models, Wiley,1991
- [10] B.Schweizer, A.Sklar: Statistical Metric Spaces, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 10 (1960), no. 1, 313-307.
- [11] B.Schweizer, A.Sklar: Probabilistic Metric Spaces, North Holland, New-York, Amsterdam, Oxford, 1983.
- [12] V.M.Sehgal: A Fixed Point Theorem for Mappings with a Contractive Iterate, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.,23 (1969), 631-634.
- [13] H.Sherwood: E-spaces and their relation to other classes of probabilistic metric spaces, J.London Math. Soc., 44 (1969), 441-448